

Reviewer Instructions SIG8 meets SIG16

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you very much for agreeing to review proposals submitted for consideration at the EARLI SIG8-16 Conference "Motivation and Emotion meets Metacognition and Self-regulated Learning" 2020 in Dresden. We highly appreciate the time and effort you will invest in helping us to prepare an inspiring conference!

Please evaluate the quality of each proposal according to the criteria given below on a scale from 0 (*inadequate*) to 10 (*outstanding*). In addition, please provide brief and constructive feedback in the corresponding text box, taking into account the efforts invested in writing a proposal. Scores and feedback will be both communicated to the authors along with the final decision. At least two reviewers will blindly review each proposal.

Due to technical problems with the submission system, we could not start the review process according to our original timeline. In order to be able to provide feedback to potential participants as soon as possible, we kindly ask you to submit your reviews by the 20th of February at the latest. If you are unable to meet this deadline and will require slightly more time, please let us know. To submit your reviews, please log in to your EARLI account via <https://www.earli-eapril.org/>, select "My Reviews" and choose "SIG 8 Meets SIG 16 Dresden 2020".

Dates to remember

January 27th, 2020	Start of review process
February 20th, 2020	Review deadline (first round)
February 28th, 2020	Review deadline (second round – for cases with divergent review results)
March 3rd, 2020	Notification of acceptance

Please be advised that there are both empirical and theoretical submissions, as well as submissions from SIG8 and SIG16 members; the submission review for both conferences is handled using the same system. **Please note that the review system does not allow us to use different review criteria for different types of submissions. Accordingly, you will see that some criteria include qualifiers like "OR" in order to use different criteria for empirical vs. theoretical submissions.** In order to communicate the criteria as clearly as possible, the review criteria for empirical and theoretical submissions are explained below.

Single Paper or Poster

Empirical Paper or Poster

- Relevance to the domains of SIG8 **OR** SIG16 **OR** the synergy between SIG8 and SIG16
- Clarity of objectives and research questions
- Strong rationale for the study well-grounded in existent theoretical frameworks and/or empirical work
- Research methods and design (participants, material, data sources/measures, sampling, procedure)
- Clarity of (planned) data analyses, (preliminary) results, and conclusions
- Your overall assessment of the quality of the proposal:

Theoretical Paper or Poster

- Relevance to the domains of SIG8 **OR** SIG16 **OR** the synergy between SIG8 and SIG16
- Clarity of objectives and research questions
- Theoretical framework, conceptual rationale, or pragmatic grounding
- Embeddedness in the relevant literature
- Clarity and robustness of theoretical arguments
- Your overall assessment of the quality of the proposal:

Symposium

Symposia provide an opportunity to present research on one topic, compiling a coherent set of papers for discussion. Symposia are evaluated both as a whole and as individual contributions.

Symposium as a whole

- Relevance to the domains of SIG8 **OR** SIG16 **OR** the synergy between SIG8 and SIG16
- Clarity of objectives and research questions
- Theoretical framework, conceptual rationale, or pragmatic grounding
- Organization and coherence of the whole symposium
- Your overall assessment of the quality of the symposium:

Paper in a symposium

Please evaluate each paper in a symposium as an individual paper using the criteria for single papers.

Just a short reminder: Please score each criterion on a scale from 0 - 10. The meanings of the scale points is as follows:

- 0 to 3 = inadequate
- 4 = unacceptable
- 5 = mediocre
- 6 = sufficient
- 7 = distinctive
- 8 = good
- 9 = excellent
- 10 = outstanding

For any questions regarding the review process, please contact the organization team at info@SIG8meetsSIG16-Dresden.de.